



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Donald G. Wheatley	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,040		11/17/2003			1584-003	3219
26824	75	90	07/25/2005		EXAMINER	
ALEX R		ES		GORDON, STEPHEN T		
UNIT NO. 9 50168 PONTIAC TRAIL					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIXOM				3612		
					DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/714,040	WHEATLEY, DONALD G.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Stephen Gordon	3612						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		L						
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	<u>April 2005</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 11-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.							
Application Papers	•	6						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
" See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da B) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0705						

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2 and 11-14, as newly presented, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1, the claim as newly presented is very confusing as multiple tubes including a cylindrical tube and a cylindrical thin walled tube are recited. Such defines new matter as nowhere in the originally filed papers is a configuration defining multiple tubes with brackets including a circular thin walled portion defined. Moreover, it is not at all clear how such a device would be configured.

Newly claim 11, the claim as newly presented is very confusing as multiple tubes including a cylindrical tube and a cylindrical thin walled tube are recited. Such defines new matter as nowhere in the originally filed papers is a configuration defining multiple tubes with brackets including a circular thin walled portion defined. Moreover, it is not at all clear how such a device would be configured.

Newly added claim 12, the recitation in the last line defining a screw threadably engaging the upper circular wall portion is very confusing as no screw per se as defined in the originally presented papers functions or is positioned in such a manner. Such recitation then is deemed to define new matter. Additionally note, threaded fasteners of

Art Unit: 3612

the disclosed invention include elements 35 and 28. Neither of these elements is positioned or functions as recited in claim 12 - note figures 3 and 4.

2. Claims 1-2 and 11-14, as newly presented, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, the claim as newly presented is very confusing as a configuration including multiple tubes is not consistent with the originally disclosed invention as discussed above regarding the 112-first paragraph rejection. Additionally, it is not clear how such a device would be configured. Moreover, as multiple tubes are recited, the term "said tube" used throughout the claim lacks clear antecedent basis.

New claim 11, the claim is very confusing as a configuration including multiple tubes is not consistent with the originally disclosed invention as discussed above regarding the 112-first paragraph rejection. Additionally, it is not clear how such a device would be configured. Moreover, as multiple tubes are recited, the term "said tube" at the end of the claim lacks clear antecedent basis - note similar confusing terms additionally appear in claim 12. Finally note, "a vehicle" in line 3 should be –said vehicle—for added clarity (note terms "a vehicle" in the preamble and "said vehicle" in each of claims 13 and 14).

Art Unit: 3612

With additional regard to new claim 12, the claim is very confusing as a configuration including a screw threadably engaging the circular wall portion is not consistent with the originally disclosed invention as discussed above regarding the 112-first paragraph rejection. Additionally, it is not clear how such a device would be configured.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 3-6, as newly presented, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ragsdale.

Ragsdale teaches a vehicle cargo tie rail including a cylindrical tube 30 and a pair of single piece uniform cross section brackets 24 as newly broadly recited.

Regarding claim 3 as newly amended, the brackets 24 are capable of sliding along the tube and rotating around an axis of the tube as broadly claimed. At least elements 28,32+ define means for fixing as broadly claimed. Finally it should be noted, in the newly presented instant claim 3, applicant has chosen to define a *single piece* (i.e. element 26 and/or element 27 of the instant invention) of the bracket assembly as the recited "bracket". The single piece element 24 of the bracket assembly of Ragsdale clearly defines a single piece of uniform cross section. In as much as the instant

invention elements 26 and/or 27 of the bracket assembly can be considered to define as single piece bracket, element 24 of Ragsdale is fairly readable on such a bracket.

Claim 5, Ragsdale teaches use on a van – see section 4, line 18.

Claim 6, Ragsdale is clearly capable of being used on a station wagon. To the extent that the wagon per se is not a positively recited element of the instant claimed apparatus (i.e. it is a further definition of the intended use recitation of the base claim preamble), the functional language relating thereto is given little patentable weight. It should additionally be noted that Ragsdale teaches that the rail can be used on vehicles other than a pickup truck – see section 4, lines 18-19.

- 5. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/714,040 Page 6

Art Unit: 3612

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (571) 272-The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding 6661. is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612